

UNITED STATEDEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

			Viasinington, J.O. 20201		T.	
ı	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	09/012,369	01/23/98	MARGOLIS	В	231/198	

— 022249 LYON AND LYON LLP SUITE 4700 633 WEST FIFTH STREET LOS ANGELES CA 90071-2066 HM12/0611 ... EXAMINER
EYLER, Y

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
1642

DATE MAILED: 06/11/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/012,369

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Margolis et al.

Yvonne Eyler

Group Art Unit 1642



☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1				
☐ This action is FINAL .					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to responsible application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time 37 CFR 1.136(a).	nd within the period for response will cause the				
Disposition of Claims					
	is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration				
Claim(s)					
	-				
Claim(s)					
Claim(s)	•				
	subject to restriction or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review,					
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by	the Examiner.				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is	□approved □disapproved.				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).				
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the prior	rity documents have been				
☐ received.					
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)					
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
*Certified copies not received:					
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 3	35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
Attachment(s)					
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892					
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).					
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413					
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948					
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
SEE DESICE ACTION ON THE SOUL	NWW 0 0 4 0 5 0				

Application/Control Number: 09/012369 Page 2

Art Unit: 1642

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-10, drawn to methods of treating diseases, classified in class 514,
 subclass 2.
- II. Claims 11,12, drawn to methods of diagnosis, classified in class 435, subclass 7.2.
- III. Claims 13-15, drawn to methods of assaying for agents that disrupt APB interactions, classified in class 435, subclass 7.2.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. The inventions of Groups I-III are each drawn to an entirely different method requiring entirely different method steps and having entirely different outcomes. Each method requires considerations and searches not required for the consideration or search of the other methods.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any single group is not required for any other Group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Additionally, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - a. agents able to bind APB recognition regions
 - b. agents able to bind APB domains.

Application/Control Number: 09/012369

Page 3

Art Unit: 1642

These agents are not cohesive and have different chemical structures and properties as reflected by their different activities. The searches for the two different species are non-cohesive.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-3 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

c. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of

Application/Control Number: 09/012369

Art Unit: 1642

the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yvonne Eyler, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-6564. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 830am to 630pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula Hutzell, can be reached on (703) 308-4310. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3014 or (703) 308-4242.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [paula.hutzell@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Yvonne Eyler Patent Examiner

Yvonne Eyler, Ph.D. Patent Examiner

June 8, 1999